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## Corrigendum

On the derivative of the Legendre function of the first kind with respect to its degree Radosław Szmytkowski 2006 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 39 15147-15172

Our claim that at $t=z$ the integrand in

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{n} \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathcal{C}^{(+)}} \mathrm{d} t \frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n}}{(t-z)^{n+1}} \ln \frac{t+1}{z+1} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a pole of order $n+1$ is erroneous. The presence of $\ln [(t+1) /(z+1)]$ (we recall that the principal branch of the logarithm is used) causes that if $z$ is not on the cut $(-\infty,-1]$, the point $t=z$ is regular for $n=0$ or (when $z \neq 1$ ) is a pole of order $n$ for $n \geqslant 1$. Thus, under the aforementioned restrictions imposed on $z$ (in fact, these restrictions may be relaxed by carrying out a reasoning analogous to that presented below in the support of equation (5.6), by a direct application of the theory of residues to the integral in equation (5.5), one obtains

$$
R_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n-1}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{n-1}} \frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n}}{t-z} \ln \frac{t+1}{z+1}\right]_{t=z}
$$

Nevertheless, the mistake we have made does not invalidate any formula in the paper. In particular, the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1} n!}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{n}}\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n} \ln \frac{t+1}{z+1}\right]_{t=z} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

remains valid despite our reasoning leading to it being incorrect.
The correct argument supporting equation (5.6) is as follows.
Assume at first that $z \neq 1$ and that $z$ is not on the cut $(-\infty,-1]$. Then it is evident that equation (5.5) may be rewritten as
$R_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{n} \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathcal{C}^{(+)}} \mathrm{d} t \frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n}}{(t-z)^{n+1}} \ln (t+1)-\frac{1}{2^{n} \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathcal{C}^{(+)}} \mathrm{d} t \frac{\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n}}{(t-z)^{n+1}} \ln (z+1)$.
Under the assumptions made, at $t=z$ both integrands in the above have poles of order $n+1$ (except for the case of $z=0$ when the second integral vanishes) and by the theory of residues one obtains
$R_{n}(z)=\frac{1}{2^{n-1} n!}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{n}}\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n} \ln (t+1)\right]_{t=z}-\frac{1}{2^{n-1} n!}\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{n}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{n}}\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{n} \ln (z+1)\right]_{t=z}$.
Hence, equation (5.6) follows immediately. It is easy to verify that equation (5.6) also remains valid if $z=0$. When $z=1$, the integrand in (5.5) is analytic in the domain enclosed by $\mathcal{C}^{(+)}$, so that it holds that $R_{n}(1)=0$. The same result follows from equation (5.6), which is thus proved to be valid for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash(-\infty,-1]$.

Next, consider the case $z \in(-\infty,-1)$. We have

$$
R_{n}(x \pm \mathrm{i} 0)=\lim _{z \rightarrow x \pm \mathrm{i} 0} R_{n}(z) \quad(-\infty<x<-1)
$$

Since from equation (5.6) (proved so far for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash(-\infty,-1])$ it may be shown (cf equation (5.9) in the paper) that $R_{n}(z)$ is a polynomial in $z$ of degree $n$, the two limits in the above equation must be identical, being equal to the polynomial $R_{n}(x)$. Thus, one may use equation (5.6) to represent $R_{n}(z)$ also for $z \in(-\infty,-1)$.

For $z=-1$ we define

$$
R_{n}(-1)=\lim _{z \rightarrow-1} R_{n}(z)
$$

Since $R_{n}(z)$ is the polynomial in $z$ (cf the remark in the preceding paragraph), the limit clearly exists, being the value of this polynomial at $z=-1$.

